

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/813,489	03/30/2004		Christopher Dirk Weigand	18040	4906	
26794	7590 08/23/2005	5		EXAN	EXAMINER	
TYCO ELECTRONICS CORPORATION 4550 NEW LINDEN HILL ROAD, SUITE 450				NGUYEN,	NGUYEN, KHANH V	
	WILMINGTON, DE 19808		1E 450	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•			2817	12 - 2	
				DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>		η.				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/813,489	WEIGAND ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Khanh V. Nguyen	2817					
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	ication appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum st - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt tatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON or will, by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·				
Status	••	·					
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>30 March 2004</i> .						
· ·	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	•					
·	for allowance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the	application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 14-19</u> is/are reject	oted.						
7) Claim(s) 12 and 13 is/are objected t		*					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict		·					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
•	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
- 1 1	ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction is required if the drawing	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) All b) Some * c) None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	documents have been received.	, anticotion blo	•				
	documents have been received in A						
_ ,	of the priority documents have been onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received.					
	•						
Attachment(s)	·	(PTO 442)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F 		lummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/813,489

Art Unit: 2817

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not clear what is meant by "a single pole six throw switch".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 14, 15, 17, 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shapiro et al. (5,973,568).

Regarding claims 1, 17, 19 Shapiro et al. (Figs. 1, 2) disclose a power amplifier comprising: at least one first power amplifier (104/220); at least one first matching circuit (106/222); at least one first filter (110/236); and an antenna (ANT) having the connections and functions thereof.

Regarding claim 2, further comprising a diplexer (112/228) can be read as a decoder having the connection thereof.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,489

Art Unit: 2817

Regarding claim 3, further comprising a switch (238) coupled to filter 236).

Regarding claims 4, 18, further comprising (Fig. 1) a second power amplifier (204); at least one second matching circuit (206/226); and at least one second filter (210) having the connections and functions thereof.

Regarding claim 5, further comprising a diplexer (112/228) can be read as a decoder having the connection thereof.

Regarding claim 14, wherein the filter is a low pass filter.

Regarding claim 15, wherein harmonic filters (Fig. 1) can be low pass filters.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2817

Claims 6-8, 10, 11, 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shapiro et al.

Regarding claim 6, Shapiro et al. (Fig. 1) disclose the claimed invention except claimed switch. However, Fig. 2 of Shapiro et al. disclose a switch (236) placed between antenna and filter (236). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided a switch for radio communication.

Regarding claims 7, 16 Shapiro et al. disclose the claimed invention except the circuit elements are diposed on a leadframe. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined all circuit elements a single semiconductor chip ie. leadframe, because it is simpler and does not require a cumbersome circuit.

Regarding claim 8, wherein utilizing such known type of leadframe is considered a matter of design engineering, since they are based on the routine experimentation to obtain the optimum operating parameters.

Regarding claims 10, 11, wherein the switch is coupled to an antenna/reception signal.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 12, 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,489 Page 5

Art Unit: 2817

Claims 12, 13 call for, among others, a decoder provides control signals to the amplifiers.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The additional reference (Fujioka (6,366,788)) shows further analogous prior art circuitry (communication system having amplifier, matching circuit, and filter).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khanh V. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1767. The examiner can normally be reached from 8:00 AM - 3:30 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on (571) 272-1769. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KHANHY NGUYEN

Xhand and guyer